## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/521,622	HAGIO ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
SUSAN E. FERNANDEZ	1651	

	SUSAN E. FERNANDEZ	1651			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
THE REPLY FILED 09 August 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.					
<ol> <li>X he reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of App for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:</li> </ol>	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.				
b) A The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or the MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE f).	date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FI	n. .ED WITHIN TW		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extens have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period or detension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extens under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action set forth in (b) above, if checked, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL					
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed was compared.	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the			
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>					
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I         <ul> <li>They raise new issues that would require further coil</li> <li>They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)</li> <li>They are not deemed to place the application in bet</li> </ul> </li> </ol>	nsideration and/or search (see NOTw);	E below);			
appeal; and/or		and determine			
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	ected claims.				
	mnliant Amendment (	OTOL -324)			
<ol> <li>The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-32:</li> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> </ol>					
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		imely filed amendmer	t canceling the		
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		be entered and an e	planation of		
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1.6.10-27.30-48 and 71</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).					
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	to provide a		
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.		
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.</li> </ol>	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:		
12.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13.  Other:					
	/Leon B Lankford/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 1651			
	, Examinat, rate				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)